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## Lattices

$\square$ Given: $B=\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]$
$\square L(B):=\left\{\Sigma_{i} \alpha_{i} b_{i} \mid \alpha_{i} \in Z\right.$ for all i\}


## SVP (Shortest Vector Problem)

## SVP:

Given a basis B of a lattice $L$, find a shortest non-zero vector $\mathbf{v}$ in $L$
$2 b_{1}-3 b_{2}$

$$
b_{2}
$$

USVP (unique Shortest Vector Problem)

## v: 2-unique <br> $\forall \mathbf{x} \in L$, if $\mathbf{x} H \mathbf{v}$ then $2\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq\|\mathbf{x}\|$



## Hardness of uSVP

$\square$ If $f<g$, $f-u S V P$ is not easier than $g-u S V P$
$\square \mathbf{v}: g$-unique $\rightarrow \mathbf{v}: f$-unique
$\square \mathrm{f}=1 \rightarrow$ NP-hard [Kumar and Sivakumar '01]
$\square f=n^{1 / 4} \rightarrow$ coAM (seems not NP-hard) [Cai 'g8]
$\square \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{poly}(\mathrm{n}) \rightarrow$ ?
$\square$ Assumption:

- If $f=$ poly( $n$ ), $f-u S V P$ is intractable in the worst-case


## Lattice-Based Cryptosystems

$\square$ Based on lattice problems
$\square$ SVP, uSVP, CVP, and etc
$\square$ Advantages
$\square$ Fast encryption and decryption
$\square$ (Seemes) hard to attack with quantum power
$\square$ Two types
$\square$ Type A: efficient, but no security proofs
$\square$ Type B: security proofs, but inefficient

## Related Works

## Type A

## GGH

[Goldreich, Goldwasser, and Halevi '98]
NTRU
[Hoffstein, Pipher, and Silverman '98]

## Type B

## AD <br> [Ajtai and Dwork '97]

$\mathrm{AD}_{\mathrm{GGH}}$ (Errorless version of AD cryptosystem)
[Goldreich, Goldwasser, and Halevi '98]

## Regevo4 <br> [Regev '04]

## Regevo5 <br> [Regev '05]

## Type B

$\square \mathrm{AD}_{\mathrm{GGH}}$, Regevo4, Regevo5, and Ajtaio5
$\square$ Advantage

- Provable security

■ with average-case/worst-case connection (except Ajtaio5)
$\square$ Disadvantages
$\square$ |pk| is huge

- |plaintext|=1


## Motivation

$\square$ Towards practical lattice-based cryptosystems in Type B

1. $|\mathrm{pk}| \rightarrow$ small
2. |plaintext| $\rightarrow$ large

- w/o changing |cipher|
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## Our Results

$\square$ Results
$\square$ Proposal of multi-bit versions of Type $B$
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- between the size of plaintext and security levels
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- AD GGH, Regevo4, Regevo5, and Ajtaio5


## Eg: Regevo4

$\square$ Security parameter: n
$\square \mathrm{n}$ is the dimension of lattices
$\square$ Key Generation
$\square$ Encryption
$\square$ Decryption
$\square$ Decryption Errors
$\square$ Security Reduction

## Regevo4 - Key Generation 1

$\square$ Choose private priod d
$\square$ Consider periodic Gaussian distrib. with variance $\alpha^{2}$


## Regevo4 - Key Generation 2

$\square$ Choose $\mathrm{a}_{11}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}$ according to the distribution


## Regevo4 - Key Generation 3

$\square$ Decide the index $k$
$\square a_{k} / 2$ must be in "bottom"


## Regevo4 - Key Generation 4

$\square$ Secret Key: d
$\square$ Public Key: $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, k$


## Regevo4 - Encryption of "o"

$\square r \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{m}$
$\square E(0)=\sum_{i} r_{i} a_{i} \bmod N$


## Regevo4-Encryption of "1"

$\square r \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{m}$
$\square E(1)=a_{k} / 2+\sum_{i} r_{i} a_{i} \bmod N$


## Regevo4-Decryption 1

$\square$ Received ciphertext is $c \in\{0, \ldots, N-1\}$
$\square$ Consider c mod d


## Regevo4 - Decryption 2

$\square$ Decrypt to "o"


## Regevo4 - Decryption 3

$\square$ Decrypt to "1"


## Regevo4 - Decryption Errors

$\square$ Consider c mod d


## Regevo4-Security

$\square \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{o})$ vs. $\mathrm{E}(1)$ with $\mathrm{pk} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{o})$ vs. U with pk
$\square E(o)$ vs. U with pk $\rightarrow O(n / \alpha)-u S V P$ in the worst case
$\square \alpha^{2}$ is the variance of distrib. in key generation


## Regevo4-Security

$\square \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{o})$ vs. $\mathrm{E}(1)$ with $\mathrm{pk} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{o})$ vs. U with pk
$\square \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{o})$ vs. U with $\mathrm{pk} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} / \alpha)-\mathrm{uSVP}$ in the worst case
$\square \alpha^{2}$ is the variance of distrib. in key generation


## Our Technique

$\square$ \#plaintext : $2 \rightarrow$ p

- Increase \# of "waves"
$\square$ Same |ciphertext| and |pk|


## Multi Bit - Illustration

$\square \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{o})$ : Blue
$\square E(1)$ : Green


## Multi Bit - Illustration

- Increase \# of "waves"
$\square$ with $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}=(\mathrm{p}+1) \mathrm{d}+\mathrm{e}$



## Multi Bit - Illustration

$\square$ make "waves" thin to decrease decrytpion errors
$\square$ Variance: $\alpha^{2} \rightarrow(\alpha / p)^{2}$ in key generation


## Multi Bit - Illustration

$\square$ Variance: $\alpha^{2} \rightarrow(\alpha / p)^{2}$
$\square$ Underlying Problem: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} / \alpha)-\mathrm{uSVP} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{pn} / \alpha)-\mathrm{uSVP}$


## Comparison

## Regevo4

## Ours

## plaintext 1 <br> $\log p$

ciphertext $8 n^{2}$
$\leftarrow$
public key ${ }^{\text {O}(~} \mathrm{n}^{4}$ )
$\leftarrow$
secret key Õ( $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ )
$\leftarrow$
security $\quad$ Õ $\left(\mathrm{n}^{1.5}\right)$-uSVP $\quad$ Õ $\left(\mathrm{pn}^{1.5}\right)$-uSVP

## Comparison-2

|  | $\mathrm{AD}_{\mathrm{GGH}}$ | Ours | Regevo4 | Ours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| plaintext | 1 | $\log p$ | 1 | $\log p$ |
| security | $\begin{aligned} & O\left(n^{11}\right)- \\ & \text { uSVP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{pn}^{11}\right)- \\ & \text { uSVP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Õ(n¹.5)- } \\ & \text { USVP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Õ(pn1.5)- } \\ & \text { uSVP } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Regevo5 | Ours | Ajtaio 5 | Ours |
| plaintext | 1 | $\log p$ | 1 | $\log p$ |
| security | $\mathrm{SVP}_{\text {Ō(n1.5) }}$ | $\mathrm{SVP}_{\text {Õ(pn1.5) }}$ | DA | $\mathrm{DA}^{\prime}$ |

## Homomorphism of PKE

$\square E(m)+E\left(m^{\prime}\right)=E\left(m+m^{\prime}\right)$
$\square$ cf. RSA, Goldwasser-Micali,...
$\square$ Do Ro4 and ours have homomorphism?
$\square$ No
$\square$ Pseudo-homomorphism

## Pseudo-homomorphism

$\square \mathrm{D}$ (blue) $=0, \mathrm{D}$ (green) $=1$
$\square \mathrm{D}($ blue + green $)=1, \mathrm{D}($ green + green $)=0$

$a_{k} / 2 \bmod d$

## Conclusions

$\square$ Results
$\square$ Proposal of multi-bit versions of Type $B$

- $\mathrm{AD}_{\text {GGH, }}$ Regevo4, Regevo5, and Ajtaio5
- Analysis of the trade-off
- between the size of plaintext and security levels
- Pseudo-homomorphism
- $\mathrm{AD}_{\text {GGH, }}$ Regevo4, Regevo5, and Ajtaio5
$\square$ Open Problem
$\square \Theta(n)$-bit cryptosystems with a/w connection
- We develop O(log n)-bit cryptosystems with a/w
- It may require new idea

